arXiv:1610.00770v2 [math.NT] 5 Oct 2016

ON REPRESENTATION OF INTEGERS FROM THIN SUBGROUPS OF $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ WITH PARABOLICS

XIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let $\Lambda < SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finitely generated, non-elementary Fuchsian group of the second kind, and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ be two primitive vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^2 - \mathbf{0}$. We consider the set $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle \mathbf{v}\gamma, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Using Hardy-Littlewood circle method and some infinite co-volume lattice point counting techniques developed by Bourgain, Kontorovich and Sarnak, together with Gamburd's 5/6 spectral gap, we show that if Λ has parabolic elements, and the critical exponent δ of Λ exceeds 0.995371, then a density-one subset of all admissible integers (i.e. integers passing all local obstructions) are actually in $\mathcal{S}$, with a power savings on the size of the exceptional set (i.e. the set of admissible integers failing to appear in $\mathcal{S}$). This supplements a result of Bourgain-Kontorovich, which proves a density-one statement for the case when Λ is free, finitely generated, has no parabolics and has critical exponent $\delta > 0.999950$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years there has been a rapidly increasing interest in studying integers from group orbits. Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [3],[4] introduced the notion "affine linear sieve", which stresses the application of ideas from classical sieve methods to find primes or almost-primes from orbits of groups of affine linear maps. So far the affine linear sieve has successfully produced almost primes in great generality, but has not yet produced primes. But in some sporadic situations, one can do much better with other methods instilled in.

For example, in [5] Bourgain and Kontorovich studied the following question: Let $\Lambda \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a free, finitely generated Fuchsian group of the second kind with no parabolic elements, and let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2), \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ be two primitive vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^2 - \mathbf{0}$. Then what integers can appear in the set $\mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbf{v}\Lambda, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \{\langle \mathbf{v}\gamma, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the standard inner product of $\mathbb{R}^2$?

Using Hardy-Littlewood circle method, Bourgain and Kontorovich successfully showed that as long as $\delta(\Gamma)$, the critical exponent of Γ exceeds 0.9999493550, the integers appearing in the set $\mathcal{S}$ has full density in the set of all *admissible* numbers (defined at (1)), with a power savings in the asymptotic convergence rate. A direct but very interesting corollary is that there are infinitely many primes in $\mathcal{S}$. See [2], [7], [6], [10], [15], for other works on finding integers from group orbits.

The work [5] left the case when Λ has parabolic elements open. The purpose of this paper is to extend the result of [5] to cover this case, when Λ has parabloic elements. Inspired by [5], we will also use circle method, and the infinite-covolume lattice point counting technique developed by Bourgain, Kontorovich and Sarnak [8]. However, we have to use a different setup. At some point the method in [5] and our method become orthogonal: on one hand our

method can only deal with groups with parabolic elements, simply because we exploit this parabolic structure; on the other hand, the method in [5] couldn't be extended to cover the parabolic case, roughly speaking, is because the existence of parabolic elements would create high multiplicity among the input vectors, which would eventually detriment the minor arc analysis.

1.1. **Statement of the main theorem.** Let $\Lambda < SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finitely generated, non-elementary Fuchsian group of the second kind containing parabolic elements. Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2), \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ be two primitive vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ (i.e. $\gcd(v_1, v_2)$=$\gcd(w_1, w_2)$=1). The purpose of this paper is to study the set $\mathcal{S} = \{\langle \mathbf{v}\gamma, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} | \gamma \in \Lambda\}$. The two vectors $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ are fixed throughout this paper.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of *admissible* integers by $\mathcal{S}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \in \mathcal{S} (\text{mod } q)\} \text{ for any } q \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1}$$

Since Γ has a parabolic subgroup, the critical exponent $\delta(\Gamma)$ is greater than $1/2$ [1], thus Λ is a Zariski-dense subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. A more-or-less direct corollary from the strong approximation property for Λ [14] is that the local obstruction of $\mathcal{A}$ is completely determined by some positive integer $\mathcal{Z}$:

Proposition 1.1. *There exists a positive integer $\mathcal{Z}$, such that*

$$n \in \mathcal{A} \Longleftrightarrow n \in \mathcal{A} (mod\ \mathcal{Z}).$$

Thus if we let $\mathcal{A}(N) = \mathcal{A} \cap [-N, N]$, then

$$\#\mathcal{A}(N) = 2cN + O(1),$$

where

$$c = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \cdot \#\{\text{admissible congruence classes mod } \mathcal{Z}\}. \tag{2}$$

We have an obvious inclusion $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$, but on the other hand a local-global principle also predicts that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}$. The purpose of this paper is to prove an "almost" local-global principle in the following sense, which is our main theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Lambda \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finitely generated Fuchsian group with parabolic elements. Let $\mathcal{S}(N) = \mathcal{S} \cap [-N, N]$. Then there exists $5/6 < \delta_0 < 1$, such that if $\delta = \delta(\Lambda) > \delta_0$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{S}(N) = 2cN + O(N^{1-\eta}).$$

for some $\eta > 0$, where c is given at (2). One choice for δ_0 can be $\frac{215}{216} = 0.995370370\cdots$.

Thus under the assumption of Theorem 1.2, most admissible integers are represented, with a power saving on the size of the exceptional set.

1.2. **A closer look at local-global principle.** Returning to the setting of Theorem 1.2, suppose Λ has a congruence subgroup, say $\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Then $\langle \mathbf{v} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{w} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = v_1 w_1 + v_2 w_2 + v_1 w_2 n$, viewed as a linear form of n, already gives a positive density subset of $\mathbb{Z}$ when n goes over all integers. Moreover, we can obtain other such linear forms by precomposing $\mathbf{v}$ with some element $\gamma \in \Lambda$ (or $\mathbf{w}$ with some element $\gamma \in \Lambda^t$). One might

wonder if this problem is elementary after all: can one cleverly find finitely many such forms which obtain all admissible integers, thus the whole local-global principle is proved?

Indeed, let's take a look at the Lubotzky 3-group as an example. Let $\Lambda = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = (0,1)$, then we are looking at the 2-2 entries of Λ. Write $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a_\gamma & b_\gamma \\ c_\gamma & d_\gamma \end{pmatrix}$. It's not hard to see that if the local obstruction for $\mathcal{S}$ appears at 9: if $\gamma \in \Lambda$, then $d_\gamma \equiv 1 (\mod 9)$. On the other hand, a single linear form $\langle (0,1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (0,1) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = 9n+1$ produces all admissible integers!

However, if we set $\mathbf{v} = (0,1), \mathbf{w} = (7,5)$, the local obstruction still appears at 9: if $\gamma \in \Lambda$, then $d_\gamma \equiv 2 \pmod 9$, and the numerical evidence shows that among all admissible integers up to 200000, 593 are missing to be represented, and no admissible integer greater than 200000 is found missing ever since. From the point of view of Furstenberg's topology on $\mathbb{Z}$ (open sets are unions of arithmetic progressions), if one can find finitely many linear forms to cover all represented integers, then the admissible set $\mathcal{A}$ is the same as represented set $\mathcal{S}$. In other words all admissible integers are represented. Thus as long as we have at least one admissible integer missing, we would need INFINITELY many linear forms to cover all represented integers. Finite many linear forms can not cover even a density one subset of all admissible integers.

We illustrate an example which is a modified version of an example used in [5]. We will construct a finitely generated group Λ such that $\delta(\Gamma)$ can get as close as possible 1, and Λ produce as many exceptions as we want.

Let $\Gamma(q)$ be the classical principle congruence subgroup of level q, i.e. $\Gamma(q) = \{\gamma \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) : \gamma \equiv I (\mod q)\}$ (we extend this definition to other algebraic groups in an obvious way).

It is well known that $\Gamma(2)$ is a free group generated by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Let $\Gamma' = [\Gamma(2), \Gamma(2)]$ be the commutator group of $\Gamma(2)$. Then all elements in Γ' are of the form

$$A^{m_1} B^{n_1} A^{m_2} B^{n_2} \cdots A^{m_k} B^{n_k} \tag{4}$$

with $\sum_i m_i = \sum_i n_i = 0$.

We will show:

Theorem 1.3. *For any $M > 0$ and $0 < \delta_1 < 1$, there exists a finitely generated subgroup $\Lambda = \Lambda_{M,\delta_1}$ of $\left\langle \Gamma'(2), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$ such that:*

(1) $\delta(\Lambda) > \delta_1$;

(2) Λ *contains* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

(3) *Let* $\mathcal{A}$ *be the set of integers admissible by* $\langle (0,1)\Lambda, (0,1)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, *then*

$$\#(\mathcal{A} - \langle (0,1)\Lambda, (0,1)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}) > M.$$

Proof. The group $\Gamma^{'}$ is an infinite index, Zariski dense subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, with critical exponent being 1 (followed by an counting argument in [9]). Therefore, $\Gamma^{'}$ satisfes a strong approximation property. In other words, there exists an integer $\mathcal{B}_0$, such that $\Gamma^{'}(\mathcal{B}_0)$, the principal congruence subgroup of $\Gamma^{'}$ of level $\mathcal{B}_0$, satisfies

$$\Gamma^{'}(\mathcal{B}_0)/\Gamma^{'}(\mathcal{B}_0)(q) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{B}_0)/\Gamma(\mathcal{B}_0)(q)$$

for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we want to look at what integers can appear at the 2-2 entry for $\langle\Gamma^{'}$ (in other words, we take $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = (0,1)$). It's straight forward to see that an integer can appear at the 2-2 entry of some matrix in $\Gamma^{'}$ if and only if this integer is odd.

For any matrix in $\Gamma(2)$, composing by a multiple of A on the left and a multiple of B on the right, one can always bring a matrix to a unique matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with the 2-2 entry d fixed and $|b|, |c| < |d|$, and we call such matrices *primitive*.

Thus, for any odd d_0, there exist finitely many primitive matrices $M_{d_0,1}, M_{d_0,2}, \cdots, M_{d_0,n(d_0)}$ in $\Gamma(2)$ with the 2-2 entry being d_0. Then all matrices with 2-2 entry d_0 can be expressed by

$$\cup_{i=1}^{n(d_0)} \cup_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \cup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A^m M_{d_0,i} B^n$$

Each $M_{d_0,i}$ might not be in $\Gamma^{'}$, but from (4) there's a unique way to left multiplying a multiple of A and right multiplying a multiple of B, to get a matrix $\widetilde{M_{d_0,i}} \in \Gamma^{'}$.

Based on $\Gamma^{'}$, given any integer N, we will construct a group Λ which is a finitely generated subgroup of $\Gamma^{'}$ and contains a parabolic subgroup, and has at least N admissible integer missing for the 2-2 entry.

Take N distinct odd primes $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n$ which are congruent to 1 mod $\mathcal{B}_0$. Let $\Gamma^{''} = \langle\Gamma^{'}, A\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Thus the set of all matrices in $\Gamma^{''}$ that have p_i as the 2-2 entry in Λ_1 can be expressed as

$$\cup_{j=1}^{n(p_i)} \cup_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A^m \widetilde{M_{p_i,j}}. \tag{5}$$

It is noted that there are only finitely many different 2-1 entries in (5). Let K_{p_i} denote the maximum of the absolute values of the 2-1 entries from (5).

Now we pick up a large prime number P such that $P > \max_{\{1\leqslant i\leqslant N\}} K_{p_i}$. Let $\Gamma^{''} = \Lambda_1 \cap \Gamma_0(P)$, where

$$\Gamma_0(P) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) | c \equiv 0 \pmod{P} \right\}.$$

Then no matrix in Γ'' has the 2-2 entry any of the p_i's. But on the other hand it's straightforward to check that each of the p_i's passes every local obstruction.

Now we are ready to construct Λ. First we can temporarily take Λ to be a finitely generated subgroup of Γ'' containing A, which shares all local data with Γ''(i.e. $\Lambda/\Lambda(q) = \Gamma''/\Gamma''(q)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$; the existence of such Λ is guaranteed by the strong approximation property). Now by a limiting theorem from [13], we can add more and more generators to Λ so that the critical exponent of Λ gets arbitrarily close to 1.

□

We hope we have illustrated effectively the subtlety of our problem. As innocent as it appears, the problem can become highly nontrivial in certain cases.

Our method for proof of Theorem 1.2: We will use Hardy-Littlewood circle method to prove Theorem 1.2. Let N be the main growing parameters, and write $N = TX$, where T is thought to be a small power of N. Our ensemble is a set B_T consisting of elements $\gamma \in \Lambda$ pointing at some specific angles with matrix norm bounded by T. Each γ corresponds to a linear form $\mathfrak{f}_\gamma$. We then let x ranges over some interval compared to X (in reality we weight x by (the dilation of) some smooth function ψ to achieve this purpose). We then define a function $\mathcal{R}_N$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_N(n)$ roughly captures the number of times n is represented as $\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)$. By analyzing the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{R}_N$, we show that $\mathcal{R}_N(n) > 0$ for almost evert admissible n, thus most admissible integers are represented.

Going back to the example when Λ is the Lubotzky 3-group, $\mathbf{v} = (0,1), \mathbf{w} = (7,5)$. We haven't tried to rigorously establish any exception, because the $\Gamma(2)$ example above has an extra Euclidean algorithm to bring down matrix norm, which is easier to work with. However, this example is quite computer-friendly. The data from this example suggests that there exist exceptional integers, so our problem in this setting should be non-elementary, and while the dimension of Lubotzky 3-group is relatively low compared to the assumption in Theorem 1.2 (in fact $\delta = 0.753 \pm 0.03$ [12], which is even lower than the Gamburd's bound 5/6), the represented set $\mathcal{S}$ get quickly stabilized and exhibits an almost local-global phenomenon. This experiment gives us some evidence to propose the following bold conjecture:

Conjecture 1.4. *Let $\Lambda \subset SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finitely generated, non-elementary Fuchsian group containing parabolic elements, so automatically $\delta(\Gamma) > 1/2$ and no further restriction on δ is imposed. We carry over all other previous notations. Then as N goes to infinity, we have the asymptotic*

$$\#\mathcal{S}(N) = 2cN + O(1),$$

where c is the same as appeared in Theorem 1.1, and the implied constant only depends on the group Λ.

Remark: Conjecture 1.4 seems unlikely to be proved with our method. One might ask if one can prove some intermediate results between Theorem 1.2 and Conjecture 1.4. For example, a density-one result with the critical exponent assumption removed? Even for this new method needs to be introduced. We have tried to introduce two and more variables (corresponding to multiple copies of parabolic subgroups), but just like the one-variable method in our paper, they lead to a same obstacle in some part of the minor arc analysis, which

requires the critical exponent to be sufficiently close to 1 in order to get cancellation.

Plan of the paper: In Sec.2, we describe the setup of the ensemble for the circle method. In Sec.3, we state some counting results on infinite co-volume lattices of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ developed by Bourgain-Kontorovich-Sarnak [8]. Sec.4 and Sec.5 are devoted to major and minor arc analysis, respectively.

2. Setup of the circle method

Recall that Λ is a finitely generated, non-elementary subgroup of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ containing a parabolic subgroup. Without loss of generality we can assume this parabolic subgroup is $\Gamma_\infty = \left\{\begin{pmatrix}1 & n\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, because we can conjugate any parabolic subgroup to be Γ_∞ (or a subgroup of Γ_∞; the period won't bring us more analytic issue). Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2)$ be two primitive vectors in $\mathbb{Z}^2 - \mathbf{0}$. We assume that $v_1, w_2 \neq 0$, otherwise we can always precompose $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ with some group elements from Λ to have this property since Λ is non-elementary.

Observe that the set $\langle \mathbf{v}\cdot\Gamma_\infty, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \{v_1w_1 + w_2v_2 + w_2v_1n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ already gives a positive density subset of $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, other sets of this linear form can be obtained by precomposing $\mathbf{w}$ with some $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix}a_\gamma & b_\gamma\\ c_\gamma & d_\gamma\end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda^t$.

Write $\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(n) = A_\gamma n + B_\gamma$, where

$$A_\gamma = v_1(c_\gamma w_1 + d_\gamma w_2) \tag{6}$$

$$B_\gamma = v_1(a_\gamma w_1 + b_\gamma w_2) + v_2(c_\gamma w_1 + d_\gamma w_2). \tag{7}$$

Then we have $\langle \mathbf{v}\cdot\Gamma_\infty, \gamma\cdot\mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \{A_\gamma n + B_\gamma | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

A heuristic is that sufficiently many such congruence sets should cover almost all admissible integers.

Let $G = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. We use the standard matrix norm $\|\cdot\|$ on G given by

$$\left\|\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix}\right\| = \sqrt{a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2}$$

Next we introduce two parameters T and X with $TX = N$. The parameter T is a small power of N. In fact T could be any N^α with $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. We define the following homogeneous growing set B_T:

$$B_T := \left\{\gamma \in \Lambda^t \middle| \|\gamma\| < T, A_\gamma \geqslant \frac{T}{100}\right\}.$$

Let ψ be a smooth non-negative function supported on $(-2.5,-0.5)\cup(0.5,2.5)$ and $\psi \geqslant 1$ on $[-2,-1]\cup[1,2]$.

Now we are ready to define our representation function $\mathcal{R}_N$:

$$\mathcal{R}_N(n) = \sum_{\gamma \in B_T} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) \mathbf{1}\{\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x) = n\}. \tag{8}$$

Note that $\mathcal{R}_N(n) > 0$ implies n is in the set $\mathcal{S}$.

The Fourier transform of $\mathcal{R}_N$ is

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\theta) = \sum_{\gamma \in B_T} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) e(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)\theta). \tag{9}$$

One can recover $\mathcal{R}_N$ from $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N$ by the following Fourier inversion formula:

$$\mathcal{R}_N(n) = \int_0^1 \widehat{R}_N(\theta) e(-n\theta) d\theta. \tag{10}$$

From Dirichlet's approximation theorem, given any positive integer M, and for every real number $\theta \in [0,1)$, there exist integers p, q such that $1 \leqslant q \leqslant M$ and $\left|\theta - \frac{p}{q}\right| < \frac{1}{qM}$. The integer M is also called the depth of approximation. In our context we set $M = T$. A general philosophy for circle method is that most contribution to the integral (10) should come from the neighborhoods of rationals with small denominators, and we call such neighborhoods *major arcs*. We introduce two parameters Q_0, K_0 such that the major arcs corresponds to $q \leqslant Q_0, \beta \leqslant \frac{K_0}{N}$. Both Q_0 and K_0 are small powers of N and are determined at (37).

We define the following hat function

$$\mathfrak{t} := \min(1 + x, 1 - x)^+$$

whose Fourier transform is

$$\hat{\mathfrak{t}}(y) = \left(\frac{\sin(\pi y)}{\pi y}\right)^2.$$

In particular, $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ is a nonnegative.

From $\mathfrak{t}$, we construct a spike function $\mathfrak{T}$ which captures the major arcs:

$$\mathfrak{T}(\theta) := \sum_{q \leqslant Q_0} \sum_{(r,q)=1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{t}\left(\frac{N}{K_0}\left(\theta + m - \frac{a}{q}\right)\right). \tag{11}$$

We then define our "main" term to be

$$\mathcal{M}_N(n) := \int_0^1 \mathfrak{T}(\theta) \widehat{R}_N(\theta) e(-n\theta) d\theta \tag{12}$$

and the "error" term to be

$$\mathcal{E}_N(n) := \int_0^1 (1 - \mathfrak{T}(\theta)) \widehat{R}_N(\theta) e(-n\theta) d\theta. \tag{13}$$

The total input is $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(0) \asymp T^{2\delta} X$, and for each $N/2 < |n| < N$, we expect n is represented

by $\asymp \frac{T^{2\delta}X}{N}$ many times. Indeed, in Section (4), we will show that for all admissible n in the range $N/2 < |n| < N$, $\mathcal{M}_N(n)$ is $\gg T^{2\delta-1}$:

Theorem 2.1. *For $N/2 < |n| < N$, the main term $\mathcal{M}_N(n)$ is*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \gg \frac{1}{\log\log(10+|n|)} T^{2\delta-1} & \text{if } n \in \mathcal{A}, \\ \ll T^{2\delta-1-\epsilon} \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array}$$

We are not able to give a satisfactory individual bound for $\mathcal{E}_N(n)$ for each n, which would then imply Conjecture 1.4 with restricted $\delta(\Lambda)$. However, we are able to give an l_2 bound for $\mathcal{E}_N$, which shows $\mathcal{E}_N$ is small in average:

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a positive η such that*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_N(n)^2 \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}.$$

Assuming Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, Theorem 1.2 follows from a standard argument:

Proof of Theorem 1.2 assuming Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Let Let $E(N)$ be the set of admissible integers in $[-N, -\frac{N}{2}] \cup [N/2, N]$ not in $\mathcal{S}$, then for each $n \in E(N)$,

$$\mathcal{R}_N(n) = 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_N(n) = \mathcal{M}_N(n) \gg \frac{1}{\log\log(|n|+10)} T^{2\delta-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\#E(N)T^{4\delta-2}/\log\log(|n|+10) \ll \sum_{n\in E(n)} |\mathcal{E}_N(n)|^2 \ll \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{E}_N(n)|^2 \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta},$$

which leads to

$$\#E(N) \ll N^{1-\eta},$$

ignoring the log factors. □

3. Several Orbital Counting Estimates

In this section we state some orbital counting estimates which are used in the sequel. We carry over all previous notations.

Let $G = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ and $\Lambda < SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ be a finitely generated, non-elementary Fuchian group of the second kind containing parabolics, and let δ be the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set of Λ. We assume $\delta > 5/6$.

We require the following Sobolev-type norm. Let $\{X_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. Each vector X_i is then extended to a left G-invariant vector field on G, for which we still denote by X_i. Then the norm $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,T}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}_{\infty,T} f = \sup_i \sup_{\|g\|<T} |X_i f(g)|,$$

for $f \in C^\infty(G)$. That is, $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,T}$ is the supreme norm of the first order derivatives of f in the ball of radius T about the identity.

Let $\Lambda(q)$ be the principal congruence subgroup of Λ, i.e. $\Lambda(q) = \{\gamma \in \Lambda | \gamma \equiv I (\mathrm{mod}\ q)\}$, and let Λ_q be the set $\Lambda/\Lambda(q)$.

The following two orbital-counting theorems are due to Bourgain-Kontorovich-Sarnak [8].

Theorem 3.1. *Let Λ be as above. Fix any $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda$ and $q > 1$. Let $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function with $|f| \leqslant 1$. There exists a fixed "bad" integer $\mathcal{B}$ such that for $q = q'q'', q' \mid \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in B_T \\ \gamma \equiv \gamma_0 (\Lambda(q))}} f(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\#\Lambda_q}\Big(\sum_{\gamma \in B_T} f(\gamma) + \mathfrak{E}_{q'}\Big) + O((1+\mathcal{S}_{\infty,T} f)^{\frac{4}{5}} T^{\frac{4}{5}2\delta + \frac{1}{3}}) \tag{14}$$

Here $\mathfrak{E}_{q'} \ll T^{2\delta - \alpha_0}$ for some $\alpha_0 > 0$. All the implied constants are independent of γ_0 and q.

Proof. The proof is a minor adaption of the proof of Theorem 1.14 in [8], using Gamburd's 5/6 spectral gap. The bound for the error term can be slightly improved. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and assume $N/2 < |n| < N$ and $X \leqslant x \leqslant 2X$. Then for at least one element $x' \in \{x, -x\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in B_T} \mathbf{1}\left\{|\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x') - n| \leqslant \frac{N}{2K_0}\right\} \gg \frac{T^{2\delta}}{K_0} + O(T^{\frac{3}{4}+2\delta\frac{1}{4}}(\log T)^{\frac{1}{4}})$$

Proof. This is application of Theorem 1.15 in [8]. □

Remark: Theorem 2.1 has been extended vastly to the setting of $SO(n,1)$ by Mohammadi and Oh [11].

4. Major Arc Analysis

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1.

Inserting (9) into (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_N(n) &= \int_0^1 \sum_{q \leqslant Q_0} \sideset{}{'}\sum_{a(q)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{t}\left(\frac{N}{K_0}\left(\theta + m - \frac{a}{q}\right)\right) \widehat{R}_N(\theta) e(-n\theta) d\theta \\
&= \sum_{q \leqslant Q_0} \sideset{}{'}\sum_{a(q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{t}\left(\frac{N}{K_0}\beta\right) \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q} + \beta) e(-n(\frac{a}{q} + \beta)) d\beta \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi(\frac{x}{X}) \sum_{q \leqslant Q_0} \sideset{}{'}\sum_{a(q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{t}\left(\frac{N}{K_0}\beta\right) \sum_{\gamma \in B_T} e\left((\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x) - n)\left(\frac{a}{q} + \beta\right)\right) d\beta
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Now we split $\sum_{\gamma \in B_T}$ into $\Lambda^t(q)$-cosets and apply Theorem 3.1 (replacing Λ by Λ^t), and for simplicity we assume $\mathcal{B} = 1$ so we don't have the $\mathfrak{E}_{q'}$ term (in general one can work with a congruence subgroup of Λ so that $\mathfrak{E}_{q'}$ term does not appear). We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\gamma\in B_T} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)-n\right)\left(\frac{a}{q}+\beta\right)\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Lambda_q^t} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\gamma_0}(x)-n\right)\frac{a}{p}\right)\sum_{\substack{\gamma\in B_T\\ \gamma\equiv\gamma_0(\Lambda^t(q))}} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)-n\right)\beta\right)\\
&\quad=\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Lambda_q^t} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\gamma_0}(x)-n\right)\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\#\Lambda_q^t}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in B_T} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)-n\right)\beta\right)+\mathcal{E}_{q'}\right)+O(T^{\frac{4}{5}2\delta+\frac{1}{3}})\right) \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging (16) back into (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_N(n)=&\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right)\left(\sum_{q<Q_0}\frac{1}{\#\Lambda_q^t}\left(\sum_{a(q)}\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Lambda_q} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\gamma_0}(x)-n\right)\frac{a}{p}\right)\right)\right)\\
&\times\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{t}\left(\frac{N}{K_0}\beta\right)e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)-n\right)\beta\right)d\beta+O\left(\frac{\#\Lambda_q^t Q_0^2 T^{\frac{4}{5}2\delta+\frac{1}{3}}K_0X}{N}\right)\\
=&\frac{K_0}{N}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right)\mathfrak{S}_{Q_0,x}(n)\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\hat{t}\left(\frac{K_0}{N}(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)-n)\right)+O\left(T^{2\delta-1}\frac{Q_0^5K_0}{T^{\frac{2}{5}\delta-\frac{1}{3}}}\right), \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}_{Q_0,x}(n)=\sum_{q<Q_0}\frac{1}{\#\Lambda_q^t}\sum_{a(q)}\sum_{\gamma_0\in\Lambda_q^t} e\left(\left(\mathfrak{f}_{\gamma_0}(x)-n\right)\frac{a}{p}\right).$$

It is noted that the definition of $\mathfrak{S}_{Q_0,x}(n)$ is independent of x. Thus we have split $\mathcal{M}_N(n)$ into a product of a modular piece and an Archimedean piece.

The analysis for the the modular piece $\mathfrak{S}_{Q_0,x}(n)$ is identical to the one in Sec. 4.2 of [5], from which we have

Lemma 4.1.

$$\mathfrak{S}_{Q_0,x}(n)=\begin{cases}\gg\frac{1}{\log\log(10+|n|)} & \text{if } n\in\mathcal{A}\\ \ll\frac{1}{N^\epsilon} \text{ for some } \epsilon>0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We apply Theorem 3.2 to analyze the sum $\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}$ in (17). Noting that $\hat{t}(x)>0.4$ when $|x|<1/2$, so for at least one element $x'\in\{x,-x\}$, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\hat{t}\left(\frac{K_0}{N}(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x')-n)\right)\gg\frac{T^{2\delta}}{K_0}+O(T^{\frac{3}{4}+2\delta\frac{1}{4}}) \qquad (18)$$

Lemma 4.1, together with (18) thus implies Theorem 2.1 once the parameters K_0, Q_0 are set with the error term not overtaking the main term, or

$$Q_0^5K_0\ll T^{\frac{2}{5}\delta-\frac{1}{3}}, \qquad (19)$$

$$K_0\ll T^{\frac{3}{4}2\delta-\frac{3}{4}}. \qquad (20)$$

5. Minor Arc Analysis

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 2.2 which gives an l_2 bound for $\mathcal{E}_N$. By Plancherel, this is the same as to prove

$$\int_0^1 |1-\mathcal{I}(\theta)|^2 d\theta \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}. \tag{21}$$

We split (21) into four parts:

$$I_1 = \sum_{q\leqslant Q_0}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{-\frac{K_0}{N}}^{\frac{K_0}{N}} \left(\frac{N\beta}{K_0}\right)^2 \left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right|^2 d\beta, \tag{22}$$

$$I_2 = \sum_{q\leqslant Q_0}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{|\beta|\geqslant\frac{K_0}{N}} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right|^2 d\beta, \tag{23}$$

$$I_3 = \sum_{Q_0<q\leqslant M}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{|\beta|\gg\frac{1}{N}} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right|^2 d\beta, \tag{24}$$

$$I_4 = \sum_{Q_0<q\leqslant M}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{|\beta|\ll\frac{1}{N}} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right|^2 d\beta, \tag{25}$$

and give the bound $T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}$ for each.

Recall the definition of $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N$ from (9). We use Poisson summation for the x variable to rewrite $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta) &= \sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{x_0(q)} e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0)\frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{x\in\mathbb{Z}\\ x\equiv x_0(q)}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{X}\right) e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)\beta\right) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{x_0(q)} e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0)\frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{x_0+qx}{X}\right) e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0+qx)\beta\right) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{x_0(q)} e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0)\frac{a}{q}\right) \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi\left(\frac{x_0+qx}{X}\right) e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0+qx)\beta\right) e(xy)dx \\
&= \frac{X}{q}\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{x_0(q)} e\left(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x_0)\frac{a}{q}\right) e\left(\frac{x_0y}{q}\right) \widehat{\psi}\left(A_\gamma X\beta+\frac{yX}{q}\right) e(B_\gamma\beta) \\
&= X\sum_{\gamma\in B_T}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{1}\{aA_\gamma+y\equiv 0(q)\} e\left(\frac{aB_\gamma}{q}\right) \widehat{\psi}\left(A_\gamma X\beta+\frac{yX}{q}\right) e(B_\gamma\beta)
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By non-stationary phase, $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N\left(\frac{a}{q}+\beta\right)$ is supported on $y=0$; the rest terms decay by any polynomial rate of N, so we have:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N\left(\frac{a}{q}+\beta\right) \sim X\sum_{\gamma\in B_T} \mathbf{1}\{A_\gamma\equiv 0(q)\} e\left(\frac{aB_\gamma}{q}\right) \widehat{\psi}\left(A_\gamma X\beta\right) e(B_\gamma\beta) + N^{-L} \tag{27}$$

for any $L \geqslant 1$.

And again applying non-stationary phase to the main term of (27), we have

$$\left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N\left(\frac{a}{q}+\beta\right)\right| \ll XT^{2\delta}(N\beta)^{-L} \tag{28}$$

for any $L \geqslant 1$, where we used $|A_\gamma| \gg T$.

Set $L = 1$ in (28) and apply it to the integral $\mathcal{I}_1$. We have

$$I_1 \ll \sum_{q\leqslant Q_0}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{-\frac{K_0}{N}}^{\frac{K_0}{N}} \left(\frac{N\beta}{K_0}\right)^2 \frac{X^2T^{4\delta}}{N^2\beta^2} d\beta \ll \frac{Q_0^2X^2T^{4\delta}}{NK_0}. \tag{29}$$

Thus if we set

$$Q_0^2 \ll K_0, \tag{30}$$

then we have

$$I_1 \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}.$$

For the integral I_2, again we apply (28) and take L large, and we have

$$I_2 \ll \sum_{q\leqslant Q_0}\sum_{a(q)}{}' \int_{|\beta|\geqslant\frac{K_0}{N}} X^2T^{4\delta}(N\beta)^{-L}d\beta \ll \frac{X^2T^{4\delta}}{NK_0^{L-1}} \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}.$$

We deal the integral I_3 in the same way as I_2. The integral I_4 is the most problematic, and our method requires δ close to 1 in order to get cancellation. First we prove an auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Fix $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then we have*

$$\sum_{\gamma'\in B_T} \mathbf{1}\{(A_{\gamma'}, B_{\gamma'}) = (A_\gamma, B_\gamma)\} \ll 1$$

Proof. Recalling the definition of A_γ, B_γ from (6), the relation $(A_{\gamma'}, B_{\gamma'}) = (A_\gamma, B_\gamma)$ can be rephrased as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_1 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_\gamma & b_\gamma \\ c_\gamma & d_\gamma \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v_1 \\ v_1 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a_{\gamma'} & b_{\gamma'} \\ c_{\gamma'} & d_{\gamma'} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $\gamma'^{-1}\gamma$ stabilizes $\mathbf{w}$, or

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{\gamma'} & b_{\gamma'} \\ c_{\gamma'} & d_{\gamma'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_\gamma & b_\gamma \\ c_\gamma & d_\gamma \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1-w_1w_2n & 1+w_1^2n \\ 1-w_2^2n & 1+w_1w_2n \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So we have

$$c_{\gamma'} = c_\gamma + d_\gamma - \frac{w_2A_\gamma}{v_1}n.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_\gamma \gg T$, $c_{\gamma'}, d_{\gamma'} \ll T$ and $v_1, w_2 \neq 0$, we only have $\ll 1$ many choices for n, thus the lemma follows.

□

We split I_4 dyadically as follows: write

$$I_Q = \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \sideset{}{'}\sum_{a(q)} \int_{|\beta|\ll\frac{1}{N}} \left|\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_N(\frac{a}{q}+\beta)\right|^2 d\beta, \tag{31}$$

where $Q_0 < Q < M$. It is thus enough to prove $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}} \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}$ for every $Q \in [Q_0, M]$.

Using (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_Q \ll & \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \sideset{}{'}\sum_{a(q)} \int_{|\beta|\ll\frac{1}{N}} X^2 \sum_{\gamma\in B_T} \sum_{\gamma'\in B_T} \mathbf{1}\{A_\gamma \equiv 0(q)\}\mathbf{1}\{A_{\gamma'} \equiv 0(q)\} e\left(\frac{a(B_\gamma - B_{\gamma'})}{q}\right) \\ & \times \widehat{\psi}\left(A_\gamma X\beta\right)\widehat{\psi}\left(A_{\gamma'}X\beta\right) e((B_\gamma - B_{\gamma'})\beta) d\beta \\ \ll & \frac{X^2}{N} \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \sum_{\gamma\in B_T} \sum_{\gamma'\in B_T} \mathbf{1}\{A_\gamma \equiv 0(q)\}\mathbf{1}\{A_{\gamma'} \equiv 0(q)\}|c_q(B_\gamma - B_{\gamma'})| \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Apply Lemma 5.1 to (32) and augment the set $\{(A_\gamma, B_\gamma) : \gamma \in B_T\}$ to all vectors in a square $[-\alpha T, \alpha T]^2$ (we can take α to be, say $\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2} \cdot \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^2}}$), then we have

$$I_Q \ll \frac{X^2}{N} \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \sum_{m,n,m',n'\ll T} \mathbf{1}\{m \equiv 0(q)\}\mathbf{1}\{m' \equiv 0(q)\} \cdot \gcd(q, n-n') \tag{33}$$

We split (33) into $I_Q^{(=)}$ and $I_Q^{(\neq)}$ according to $n = n'$ or not. For $I_Q^{(=)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_Q^{(=)} \ll & \frac{X^2}{N} \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \sum_{m,n,m'\ll T} \mathbf{1}\{m \equiv 0(q)\}\mathbf{1}\{m' \equiv 0(q)\} \cdot q \\ \ll & \frac{X^2}{N} \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \frac{T^3}{q^2} q \ll TN. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Since $\delta > 5/6$, we have

$$I_Q^{(=)} \ll TN \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}.$$

Now we deal with $I_Q^{(\neq)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_Q^{(\neq)} \ll & \frac{X^2}{N} \sum_{\substack{n,n'\ll T \\ n\neq n'}} \sum_{Q<q<2Q} \gcd(q, v_1(n-n')) \sum_{m,m'\ll T} \mathbf{1}\{m \equiv 0(q)\}\mathbf{1}\{m' \equiv 0(q)\} \\ \ll & \frac{X^2T^2}{NQ^2} \sum_{\substack{n,n'\ll T \\ n\neq n'}} \sum_{t|v_1(n-n')} t \sum_{\substack{Q<q<2Q \\ t|q}} 1 \\ \ll & \frac{NT^2}{Q} \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Therefore we require

$$Q_0 \gg T^{4-4\delta} \tag{36}$$

in order to make

$$\mathcal{I}_Q^{(\neq)} \ll T^{4\delta-2}N^{1-\eta}.$$

Conclusion : Collecting (19), (20), (30), (36), we find we can set

$$K_0 = T^{\frac{4}{7}(\frac{2}{5}\delta-\frac{1}{3})}, Q_0 = T^{\frac{2}{7}(\frac{2}{5}\delta-\frac{1}{3})-\epsilon_1}, \tag{37}$$

where ϵ_1 is an arbitrary small positive number, and we can take

$$\delta_0 = \frac{215}{216}. \tag{38}$$

References

[1] A. F. Beardon. The Hausdorff dimension of singular sets of properly discontinuous groups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 88:722–736, 1966.

[2] Jean Bourgain and Elena Fuchs. A proof of the positive density conjecture for integer Apollonian circle packings. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 24(4):945–967, 2011.

[3] Jean Bourgain, Alex Gamburd, and Peter Sarnak. Sieving and expanders. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 343(3):155–159, 2006.

[4] Jean Bourgain, Alex Gamburd, and Peter Sarnak. Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product. *Invent. Math.*, 179(3):559–644, 2010.

[5] Jean Bourgain and Alex Kontorovich. On representations of integers in thin subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(5):1144–1174, 2010.

[6] Jean Bourgain and Alex Kontorovich. On the local-global conjecture for integral Apollonian gaskets. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):589–650, 2014. With an appendix by Péter P. Varjú.

[7] Jean Bourgain and Alex Kontorovich. On Zaremba's conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 180(1):137–196, 2014.

[8] Jean Bourgain, Alex Kontorovich, and Peter Sarnak. Sector estimates for hyperbolic isometries. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 20(5):1175–1200, 2010.

[9] Charles L. Epstein. Asymptotics for closed geodesics in a homology class, the finite volume case. *Duke Math. J.*, 55(4):717–757, 1987.

[10] ShinnYih Huang. An improvement to Zaremba's conjecture. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(3):860–914, 2015.

[11] Amir Mohammadi and Hee Oh. Matrix coefficients, counting and primes for orbits of geometrically finite groups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(4):837–897, 2015.

[12] R. S. Phillips and P. Sarnak. On the spectrum of the Hecke groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 52(1):211–221, 1985.

[13] Dennis Sullivan. The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (50):171–202, 1979.

[14] Boris Weisfeiler. Strong approximation for Zariski-dense subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 120(2):271–315, 1984.

[15] Xin Zhang. *On the Local-global Principle for Integral Apollonian-3 Circle Packings*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2014. Thesis (Ph.D.)–State University of New York at Stony Brook.